arXiv:1508.04668v1 [math.RA] 19 Aug 2015

IDENTITIES OF THE LEFT-SYMMETRIC WITT ALGEBRAS

Daniyar Kozybaev[1]
and
Ualbai Umirbaev[2]

Abstract. Let $P_n = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial algebra over a field k of characteristic zero in the variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ and $\mathscr{L}_n$ be the left-symmetric Witt algebra of all derivations of P_n [2]. We describe all right operator identities of $\mathscr{L}_n$ and prove that the set of all algebras $\mathscr{L}_n$, where $n \geq 1$, generates the variety of all left-symmetric algebras. We also describe a class of general (not only right operator) identities for $\mathscr{L}_n$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 16S32, 17D25; Secondary 16R10, 17B01,17A50.

Key words: algebras of derivations, left-symmetric algebras, identities, free algebras, multiplication algebras.

1. Introduction

Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic zero and $P_n = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial algebra over k in the variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$. Let W_n be the Witt algebra of index n, i.e., Lie algebra of all derivations of the polynomial algebra P_n. The set of elements $u\partial_i$, where $u = x_1^{s_1} \dots x_n^{s_n} \in P_n$ is an arbitrary monomial, $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, and $1 \leq i \leq n$, forms a linear basis for W_n. Denote by $\mathscr{L}_n$ an algebra with the ground space W_n and the product $\cdot$ defined by

$$a\partial_i \cdot b\partial_j = (a\partial_i(b))\partial_j, \quad a, b \in P_n. \tag{1}$$

It is easy to check [2] that $\mathscr{L}_n$ satisfies the left-symmetric identity

$$(xy)z - x(yz) = (yx)z - y(xz). \tag{2}$$

This means that the associator $(x, y, z) := (xy)z - x(yz)$ is symmetric with respect to two left arguments, i.e.,

$$(x, y, z) = (y, x, z).$$

The variety of left-symmetric algebras is Lie-admissible, i.e., each left-symmetric algebra $\mathscr{L}$ with the operation $[x, y] := xy - yx$ is a Lie algebra. Obviously, the commutator algebra of $\mathscr{L}_n$ coincides with the Witt algebra W_n of all derivations of P_n. The algebra $\mathscr{L}_n$ is called the *left-symmetric Witt algebra of index n*.

---

[1] Supported by an MES grant 0755/GF of Kazakhstan; Eurasian National University, Astana, Kazakhstan, e-mail: *kozybayev@gmail.com*

[2] Supported by an MES grant 0755/GF of Kazakhstan; Eurasian National University, Astana, Kazakhstan and Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, USA, e-mail: *umirbaev@math.wayne.edu*

The left-symmetric Witt algebra $\mathscr{L}_n$ of all derivations of the polynomial algebra P_n plays an important role in the study of locally nilpotent derivations of P_n and the Jacobian Conjecture [12]. The set of all left nilpotent elements of $\mathscr{L}_n$ coincides with the set of all locally nilpotent derivations of the polynomial algebra P_n and right multiplication operator R_D, where $D \in \mathscr{L}_n$, is nilpotent if and only if the Jacobian matrix of D (see, definition in [12]) is nilpotent. These facts already lead to necessity of purely algebraic study of $\mathscr{L}_n$. First of all, it is important to get algebraic descriptions of left and right nilpotent elements of $\mathscr{L}_n$.

Identities of $\mathscr{L}_n$ are especially important in order to treat the mentioned above problems of affine algebraic geometry [12]. Notice that $\mathscr{L}_1$ is a Novikov algebra, i.e., it also satisfies the identity

$$(xy)z = (xz)y. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, the identities (2) and (3) form a basis of identities for $\mathscr{L}_1$ in characteristic zero [8]. Recall that the question on a basis of identities for W_1 is a well-known open problem [10]. A basis for Z-graded identities of the Lie algebra W_1 was recently given in [6].

The right multiplication algebra of $\mathscr{L}_n$, i.e., the associative algebra generated by all right multiplication operators $R_x, x \in \mathscr{L}_n$, is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_n(P_n)$ [12, Lemma 4]. This result allows to make some parallel between the matrix algebras $M_n(k)$ in the case of associative algebras and the left-symmetric Witt algebras $\mathscr{L}_n$ in the case of left-symmetric algebras. In Section 2, we describe all right operator identities of the left-symmetric Witt algebra $\mathscr{L}_n$ as well as its subalgebras of triangular derivations $T(\mathscr{L}_n)$ and strongly triangular derivations $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$. Recall that minimal right operator identities of $\mathscr{L}_n$ are considered in [4].

These results give that

$$\mathrm{Var}(\mathscr{L}_1) \subsetneqq \mathrm{Var}(\mathscr{L}_2) \subsetneqq \ldots \subsetneqq \mathrm{Var}(\mathscr{L}_n) \subsetneqq \ldots,$$

where $\mathrm{Var}(\mathscr{L}_n)$ is the variety of algebras generated by $\mathscr{L}_n$.

In Section 4, we prove that the set of all left-symmetric Witt algebras $\mathscr{L}_n$, where $n \geq 1$, generates the variety of all left symmetric algebras. This means that every polynomial identity satisfied by all algebras $\mathscr{L}_n$ is a consequence of (2). In fact, we prove more stronger result (Theorem 1), which says that the algebra of derivations $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ does not satisfy any nontrivial left-symmetric identity of degree $\leq n$.

Unfortunately, left operator identities of $\mathscr{L}_n$ are more important in applications and more difficult to describe. It is known that every Lie identity for W_n is equivalent to a left operator identity for $\mathscr{L}_n$ [12, Lemma 7]. Identities of the Lie algebra W_n are studied in [10]. In fact, Razmyslov's methods of proving identities for W_n applied directly to $\mathscr{L}_n$ give more wider class of identities for $\mathscr{L}_n$. These identities are described in Section 5.

In Section 3, we give some auxiliary results on a basis of free left-symmetric algebras and some of them can be found in [7, 11].

2. ALGEBRAS OF TRIANGULAR DERIVATIONS

Every element D of the left-symmetric Witt algebra $\mathscr{L}_n$ can be represented uniquely in the form

$$D_F = f_1\partial_1 + f_2\partial_2 + \ldots + f_n\partial_n,$$

where $F = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n)'$ is an n-tuple (column) of elements of the polynomial algebra $P_n = k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$. Let $J(F) = (\partial_j(f_i))_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ be the Jacobian matrix of F considered as a polynomial mapping of the space k^n. The Jacobian matrix $J(F)$ is also called the *Jacobian matrix of the derivation* D_F. Thereby, every derivation $D \in \mathscr{L}_n$ has the Jacobian matrix $J(D) = J(D_F) = J(F)$.

Consider the grading

$$P_n = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus A_s \oplus \ldots$$

of the polynomial algebra P_n, where A_i is the space of homogeneous elements of degree $i \geq 0$. The left-symmetric algebra $\mathscr{L}_n$ has a natural grading

$$\mathscr{L}_n = L_{-1} \oplus L_0 \oplus L_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus L_s \oplus \ldots, \tag{4}$$

where L_i is the space generated by all elements of the form $a\partial_j$ with $a \in A_{i+1}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Elements of L_s are called *homogeneous derivations* of P_n of degree s.

We have $L_{-1} = k\partial_1 + \ldots + k\partial_n$ and L_0 is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}_n$ isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_n(k)$. Notice that $L_{-1} \cdot L_n \subset L_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 0$. If $0 \neq f \in L_n$ and $n \geq 0$, then, obviously, there exists $\partial_s \in L_{-1}$ such that $\partial_s \cdot f \neq 0$. Following [10], this property will be referred as the *left transitivity* of the grading (4).

The element

$$D_X = x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2 + \ldots + x_n\partial_n$$

is the identity element of the matrix algebra L_0 and is the right identity element of $\mathscr{L}_n$. The left-symmetric algebra $\mathscr{L}_n$ has no identity element.

Let A be an arbitrary left-symmetric algebra. Denote by $\mathrm{Hom}_k(A, A)$ the associative algebra of all k-linear transformations of the vector space A. For any $x \in A$ denote by $L_x : A \to A (a \mapsto xa)$ and $R_x : A \to A (a \mapsto ax)$ the operators of left and right multiplication by x, respectively. It follows from (2) that

$$L_{[x,y]} = [L_x, L_y], \quad R_{xy} = R_yR_x + [L_x, R_y].$$

Denote by $M(A)$ the subalgebra of $\mathrm{Hom}_k(A, A)$ (with an identity) generated by all R_x, L_x, where $x \in A$. The algebra $M(A)$ is called the *multiplication* algebra of A. The subalgebra $R(A)$ of $M(A)$ (with identity) generated by all R_x, where $x \in A$, is called the *right multiplication* algebra of A. Similarly, the subalgebra $L(A)$ of $M(A)$ (with identity) generated by all L_x, where $x \in A$, is called the *left multiplication* algebra of A.

For shortness of terminology, we say that $f = f(z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ is an *associative* polynomial if f is an element of the free associative algebra $\mathrm{Ass}\langle z_1, \ldots, z_m\rangle$ freely generated by $z_1, \ldots, z_m$. If $f = f(z_1, \ldots, z_m) \in \mathrm{Ass}\langle z_1, \ldots, z_m\rangle$, then denote by f^* the image of f under the standard involution $*$ of $\mathrm{Ass}\langle z_1, \ldots, z_m\rangle$, i.e., $z_i^* = z_i$ for all i. We also say that $f = f(z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ is a *left-symmetric* polynomial if f is an element of the free left-symmetric algebra $LS\langle z_1, \ldots, z_m\rangle$.

An identity $g = 0$ of a left-symmetric algebra A is called a *right operator identity* if $g = f(R_{x_1}, \ldots, R_{x_m})x$ for some associative polynomial $f = f(z_1, \ldots, z_m)$. This means

$f(R_{a_1},\dots,R_{a_m})=0$ in $R(A)$ for all $a_1,\dots,a_m\in A$. Notice that $f=0$ is not an identity for $R(A)$ (in general). Left operator identities can be defined similarly.

The right multiplication algebra $R(\mathscr{L}_n)$ of the left-symmetric Witt algebra $\mathscr{L}_n$ is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_n(P_n)$ [12]. This isomorphism

$$\theta : R(\mathscr{L}_n) \longrightarrow M_n(P_n)$$

is uniquely defined by $\theta(R_D)=J(D)$ for all $D\in\mathscr{L}_n$.

So the algebra $R(\mathscr{L}_n)$ satisfies all identities of the matrix algebra $M_n(k)$. Obviously, every identity of $R(\mathscr{L}_n)$ determines an identity (in fact, a set of identities) for $\mathscr{L}_n$. The isomorphism θ allows to carry out the study of $\mathscr{L}_n$ parallel to the study of $M_n(k)$. In this section we describe all right operator identities of $\mathscr{L}_n$ and its two important subalgebras.

The next proposition gives a complete description of all right operator identities of $\mathscr{L}_n$.

Proposition 1. *Let $f=f(z_1,\dots,z_m)$ be an associative polynomial. Then $f(z_1,\dots,z_m)=0$ is an identity for $M_n(k)$ if and only if $f(R_{y_1},\dots,R_{y_m})y=0$ is a right operator identity for $\mathscr{L}_n$.*

Proof. Recall that $L_0\simeq M_n(k)$ is an associative algebra. We have

$$\partial_s\cdot(x_t\partial_r\cdot x_i\partial_j)=(\partial_s\cdot x_t\partial_r)\cdot x_i\partial_j$$

for all s,t,r,i,j. This means that L_{-1} is a right L_0-module.

Transformation of matrices is an involution of $M_n(k)$. Consequently, $f=0$ is an identity for $M_n(k)$ if and only if $f^*=0$ is an identity for $M_n(k)$. Therefore, if $f=0$ is not an identity for $M_n(k)$, then there exist $a_1,\dots,a_m\in L_0$ such that $0\neq f^*(a_1,\dots,a_m)\in L_0$. By the left transitivity of the grading (4), there exists $\partial\in L_{-1}$ such that $\partial(f^*(a_1,\dots,a_m))\neq 0$. Since L_{-1} is a right L_0-module, we get

$$\partial(f^*(a_1,\dots,a_m))=f(R_{a_1},\dots,R_{a_m})\partial\neq 0.$$

If $f=0$ is an identity for $M_n(k)$, then $f=0$ is an identity for $R(\mathscr{L}_n)$ since $R(\mathscr{L}_n)\simeq M_n(P_n)$. Consequently, $f(R_{a_1},\dots,R_{a_m})=0$ for all $a_1,\dots,a_m\in\mathscr{L}_n$. This means $f(R_{a_1},\dots,R_{a_m})a=0$ for all $a\in\mathscr{L}_n$. □

According to the Amitsur–Levitzki theorem [1], $M_n(k)$ satisfies the standard identity

$$s_{2n}(y_1,y_2,\dots,y_{2n})=\sum_{\sigma\in S_{2n}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)y_{\sigma(1)}y_{\sigma(2)}\dots y_{\sigma(2n)}=0$$

of degree $2n$, where S_{2n} is the symmetric group on degree $2n$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ is the sign of the permutation σ. Moreover, s_{2n} is an identity of $M_n(k)$ of the minimal degree [1].

Corollary 1. [4] *The left-symmetric Witt algebra $\mathscr{L}_n$ satisfies the identity*

$$s_{2n}(R_{y_1},R_{y_2},\dots,R_{y_{2n}})y_{2n+1}=0$$

of degree $2n+1$ and this is a right operator identity of $\mathscr{L}_n$ of the minimal degree.

If $n=1$, this corollary gives the Novikov identity (3).

Let $T(\mathscr{L}_n)$ be the subspace of $\mathscr{L}_n$ generated by all derivations of the form

$$x_i^{s_i}x_{i+1}^{s_{i+1}}\dots x_n^{s_n}\partial_i,$$

where $s_i,s_{i+1},\dots,s_n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is the set of all nonnegative integers, and $1\leq i\leq n$. It is easy to check that $T(\mathscr{L}_n)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}_n$. We call $T(\mathscr{L}_n)$ the algebra of all *triangular* derivations of P_n. In fact, $T(\mathscr{L}_n)$ is the set of all derivations D such that $J(D)$ is an upper triangular matrix, i.e., $\theta(R_D) = J(D) \in T_n(P_n)$. Recall [9] that the identity

$$[x_1, y_1][x_2, y_2] \dots [x_n, y_n] = 0$$

is a basis for all identities of the algebra $T_n(k)$ of all triangular matrices.

Corollary 2. *Let $f = f(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ be an arbitrary element of the free associative algebra $\mathrm{Ass}\langle z_1, \dots, z_m\rangle$ and let C be the commutator ideal of $\mathrm{Ass}\langle z_1, \dots, z_m\rangle$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $f(z_1, \dots, z_m) = 0$ is an identity for $T_n(k)$;

(ii) $f \in C^n$;

(iii) $f(R_{y_1}, \dots, R_{y_m})y = 0$ is an identity for $T(\mathscr{L}_n)$.

Proof. Notice that (i) and (ii) are equivalent [9]. This gives that $f = 0$ is an identity for $T_n(k)$ if and only if $f^* = 0$ is an identity for $T_n(k)$. Notice that $L_{-1} \subset T(\mathscr{L}_n)$ and $T_n(k) = L_0 \cap T(\mathscr{L}_n) \subset T(\mathscr{L}_n)$. Using these facts, we can repeat the proof of Proposition 1 for this case without any changes. □

Denote by $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ the subspace of $\mathscr{L}_n$ generated by all derivations of the form

$$x_{i+1}^{s_{i+1}} \dots x_n^{s_n} \partial_i,$$

where $s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ is a subalgebra of $T(\mathscr{L}_n)$. We call $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ the algebra of all *strongly triangular* derivations of P_n. Notice that $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ is the set of all derivations D such that $J(D)$ is an upper strongly triangular matrix, i.e., $J(D) \in ST_n(P_n)$. The algebra $ST_n(k)$ of all strongly triangular matrices satisfies the identity

$$y_1 y_2 \dots y_n = 0.$$

It is easy to check that $ST_n(k)$ does not satisfy any nontrivial associative identity of degree less than n.

Repeating the proofs of Proposition 1 and Corollary 2, we get the next corollary.

Corollary 3. *Every right operator identity of the algebra $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ of all strongly triangular derivations is a corollary of the identity*

$$R_{y_1} R_{y_2} \dots R_{y_n} z = 0. \tag{5}$$

So $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ is right nilpotent. It is not difficult to show that $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ is locally nilpotent but not nilpotent. In fact, the identity of right nilpotency does not give too much in the case of left-symmetric algebras. An example of a two-dimensional right nilpotent but not nilpotent Novikov algebra is given in [13]. More strange examples of Novikov algebras in small dimensions can be found in [3]. The picture might be totally different for subalgebras of $\mathscr{L}_n$.

Problem 1. *Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}_n$ satisfying the identity $R_y^n z = 0$. Is $\mathscr{L}$ locally nilpotent?*

Identities of the form $L_y^m z = 0$ have stronger corollaries [5] in the case of left-symmetric algebras and related to the study of Engel Lie algebras [14].

3. Reduced words

Let $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}$ be an alphabet of n symbols. Denote by Y^* the monoid of all nonassociative words on Y [15]. If $u \in Y^*$, then denote by $d(u)$ the length of u. Every nonassociative word u of length ≥ 2 can be uniquely written as $u = u_1u_2$, where $d(u_1), d(u_2) < d(u)$. If $u \in Y^*$ and $d(u) \geq 2$, then we consider only nontrivial decompositions of u, i.e., if $u = u_1u_2$, then $d(u_1), d(u_2) \geq 1$.

For any $u \in Y^*$ denote by $[u]$ the associative word obtained from u by skipping all parentheses.

Put $y_1 < y_2 < \ldots < y_n$. Let u and v be arbitrary elements of Y^*. We say that $u < v$ if $d(u) < d(v)$. If $d(u) = d(v) \geq 2$, $u = u_1u_2$, and $v = v_1v_2$, then $u < v$ if either $u_1 < v_1$ or $u_1 = v_1$ and $u_2 < v_2$.

Put $\bar{n} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Every mapping $\sigma : \bar{n} \to \bar{n}$ will be identified with the endomorphism $\sigma : Y^* \to Y^*$ of the monoid Y^* defined by $\sigma(y_i) = y_{\sigma(i)}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $u, v \in Y^*$ be arbitrary two words such that $u > v$, $[u] = y_{i_1}y_{i_2}\ldots y_{i_m}$, $[v] = y_{j_1}y_{j_2}\ldots y_{j_r}$, and let $\sigma : \bar{n} \to \bar{n}$ be a mapping such that $\sigma(i_1) > \ldots > \sigma(i_m) > \sigma(j_1) > \ldots > \sigma(j_r)$. Then $\sigma(u) > \sigma(v)$.*

Proof. Assume that the statement of the proposition is not true and let $u, v \in Y^*$ be a counterexample with the minimal $d(u) + d(v)$. If $d(u) > d(v)$, then $\sigma(u) > \sigma(v)$ since $d(\sigma(u)) > d(\sigma(v))$. Consequently, we may assume that $d(u) = d(v)$. If $d(u) = d(v) = 1$ then $u = y_{i_1}$, $v = y_{j_1}$. Therefore, $\sigma(u) = y_{\sigma(i_1)}$, $\sigma(v) = y_{\sigma(j_1)}$, and $\sigma(u) > \sigma(v)$ since $\sigma(i_1) > \sigma(j_1)$.

If $d(u) = d(v) \geq 2$, then $u = u_1u_2$ and $v = v_1v_2$. If $u_1 > v_1$, then u_1, v_1 satisfies all the conditions of the proposition and $d(u_1) + d(v_1) < d(u) + d(v)$. By the choice of u, v we get $\sigma(u_1) > \sigma(v_1)$. Consequently, $\sigma(u) > \sigma(v)$. If $u_1 = v_1$, then $u_2 > v_2$. Similarly, we get $\sigma(u_2) > \sigma(v_2)$ and $\sigma(u) > \sigma(v)$. $\square$

A word $w \in Y^*$ is called *reduced* [11] if it does not contain any subword of the form $r(st) \in Y^*$, where $d(r), d(s), d(t) \geq 1$ and $r < s$. Denote by V the set of all reduced words in the alphabet Y.

Lemma 2. *Let $w \in V$, $[w] = y_{i_1}y_{i_2}\ldots y_{i_m}$, and let $\sigma : \bar{n} \to \bar{n}$ be a mapping such that $\sigma(i_1) > \ldots > \sigma(i_m)$. Then $\sigma(w) \in V$.*

Proof. If $d(w) = 1$, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $d(w) \geq 2$ and the statement of the proposition is true for all reduced words of length less than $d(w)$. Let $w = uv$. Then $\sigma(u), \sigma(v) \in V$ by the induction proposition. If $d(v) = 1$, then , obviously, $\sigma(w) = \sigma(u)\sigma(v) \in V$. If $v = v_1v_2$, then $\sigma(w) = \sigma(u)(\sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2))$. We have $u \geq v_1$ since $w \in V$. By Lemma 1, we get $\sigma(u) \geq \sigma(v_1)$. This implies that $\sigma(w) \in V$ since $\sigma(u), \sigma(v) \in V$. $\square$

Let $A = LS\langle Y\rangle$ be the free left-symmetric algebra with free set of generators Y. Every nonassociative word in the alphabet Y represents a certain element of A and for any $u \in Y^*$ we denote by u the element of A defined by u.

According to [11], the set of all reduced words forms a linear basis for A: every nonzero element g of A can be uniquely represented as

$$g = \alpha_1 w_1 + \alpha_2 w_2 + \ldots + \alpha_m w_m, \tag{6}$$

where $w_i \in V$, $0 \neq \alpha_i \in k$ for all i, and $w_1 < w_2 < \ldots < w_m$.

Denote by $\overline{g}$ the *lowest word* w_1 of g. The element $\alpha_1 w_1$ is also called the *lowest term* of g.

Lemma 3. *Let $w \in Y^*$ be an arbitrary nonassociative word. Then $\overline{w} \geq w$ in the algebra $A = LS\langle Y\rangle$ and the equality holds if and only if $w \in V$.*

Proof. Assume that the statement of the lemma is true for all words of length less than t, where $t \geq 2$. Assume also that w is the maximal word of length t for which the statement of the lemma is not true. If $w \in V$, then $\overline{w} = w$. Hence $w = uv \notin V$. If u or v is not reduced, then, by the assumptions above, w is a linear combination of words of the form $u'v'$ such that $u'v' > w$. By the choice of w, the statement of the lemma is true for all $u'v'$. Consequently, w is a linear combination of reduced words w' such that $w' > w$.

Suppose that both u and v are reduced. This means $v = v_1v_2$ and $u < v_1$ since w is not reduced. By (2), we have

$$w = u(v_1v_2) = v_1(uv_2) + (uv_1)v_2 - (v_1u)v_2$$

in the algebra A. Notice that $v_1(uv_2), (uv_1)v_2, (v_1u)v_2 > w$. By the choice of w, we again get that w is a linear combination of reduced words w' such that $w' > w$. □

Lemma 4. *Every reduced word $w \in V$ can be uniquely represented in the form*

$$w = L_{w_1} L_{w_2} \ldots L_{w_m} x_i, \tag{7}$$

where $w_j \in V$ for all j and $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \ldots \geq w_m$.

Proof. If $w = uv$, then u and v are reduced words. Leading an induction on $d(w)$, we may assume that $v = L_{v_1} L_{v_2} \ldots L_{v_s} x_i$, where $v_j \in V$ and $v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \ldots \geq v_s$. We have $v = v_1 v'$ where $v' = L_{v_2} \ldots L_{v_s} x_i$. Note that $u \geq v_1$ since $w = uv = v(v_1 v')$ is reduced. Consequently, $w = L_u L_{v_1} L_{v_2} \ldots L_{v_s} x_i$ and $u \geq v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \ldots \geq v_s$. The uniqueness of this representation is obvious. □

4. GENERALIZED TRIANGULAR DERIVATIONS

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_{12}, \lambda_{13}, \ldots, \lambda_{1n}, \lambda_{23}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1n})$ be a tuple of independent commutative variables λ_{ij}, where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Denote by $k[\lambda]$ the polynomial algebra over k in the variables λ_{ij}. Every element of $k[\lambda]$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$\lambda^s = \lambda_{12}^{s_{12}} \lambda_{13}^{s_{13}} \ldots \lambda_{1n}^{s_{1n}} \lambda_{23}^{s_{23}} \ldots \lambda_{n-1n}^{s_{n-1n}},$$

where $s = (s_{12}, s_{13}, \ldots, s_{1n}, s_{23}, \ldots, s_{n-1n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ and $r = \frac{(n-1)n}{2}$. Denote by $\leq$ the lexicographic order on $\mathbb{Z}_+^r$. Put also $\lambda^s < \lambda^t$ if $s < t$ in $\mathbb{Z}_+^r$. If $0 \neq f \in k[\lambda]$ then denote by $\widetilde{f}$ the leading monomial of f.

Denote by S the set of formal symbols

$$x_1^{f_1} \ldots x_n^{f_n},$$

where $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \in k[\lambda]$, and consider S as a semigroup with respect to the product

$$x_1^{f_1} \ldots x_n^{f_n} x_1^{g_1} \ldots x_n^{g_n} = x_1^{f_1+g_1} \ldots x_n^{f_n+g_n}.$$

Notice that S just is a multiplicative version of the additive semigroup $k[\lambda]^n$. Put also $\deg_{x_i}(x_1^{f_1} \ldots x_n^{f_n}) = f_i$ for all i.

Consider the set of formal symbols $u\partial_i$, where $u \in S$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be the free $k[\lambda]$-module generated by all $u\partial_i$. We turn $\mathscr{L}$ into $k[\lambda]$-algebra by

$$u\partial_i \circ v\partial_j = \deg_{x_i}(v)(uvx_i^{-1})\partial_j.$$

Lemma 5. *$\mathscr{L}$ is a left-symmetric $k[\lambda]$-algebra.*

Proof. If $u, v, w \in S$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(u\partial_i, v\partial_j, w\partial_k) &= (u\partial_i \circ v\partial_j) \circ w\partial_k - u\partial_i \circ (v\partial_j \circ w\partial_k)\\ &= \deg_{x_i}(v)(uvx_i^{-1})\partial_j \circ w\partial_k - \deg_{x_j}(w)u\partial_i \circ (vwx_j^{-1})\partial_k\\ &= \deg_{x_i}(v)\deg_{x_j}(w)(uvwx_i^{-1}x_j^{-1})\partial_k - \deg_{x_j}(w)\deg_{x_i}(vwx_j^{-1})(uvwx_i^{-1}x_j^{-1})\partial_k\\ &= (\deg_{x_i}(v)\deg_{x_j}(w) - \deg_{x_j}(w)\deg_{x_i}(vwx_j^{-1}))(uvwx_i^{-1}x_j^{-1})\partial_k\\ &= -\deg_{x_j}(w)(\deg_{x_i}(w) + \deg_{x_i}(x_j^{-1}))(uvwx_i^{-1}x_j^{-1})\partial_k.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$(v\partial_j, u\partial_i, w\partial_k) = -\deg_{x_i}(w)(\deg_{x_j}(w) + \deg_{x_j}(x_i^{-1}))(uvwx_i^{-1}x_j^{-1})\partial_k.$$

If $i = j$, then these equalities give

$$(u\partial_i, v\partial_j, w\partial_k) = (v\partial_j, u\partial_i, w\partial_k),$$

i.e., the identity (2) holds for $u\partial_i, v\partial_j, w\partial_k$. If $i \neq j$, then $\deg_{x_i}(x_j^{-1}) = \deg_{x_j}(x_i^{-1}) = 0$ and (2) holds again. $\square$

Denote by $\widehat{\mathscr{L}_n}$ the left-symmetric algebra of all derivations of $k[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$. The set of elements $u\partial_i$, where $u = x_1^{s_1} \ldots x_n^{s_n}$, $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, and $1 \leq i \leq n$, forms a linear basis for $\widehat{\mathscr{L}_n}$. The product in $\widehat{\mathscr{L}_n}$ is defined by (1). The identity (2) can be checked as in the proof of Lemma 5. The subalgebras of triangular derivations $T(\widehat{\mathscr{L}_n})$ and strong triangular derivations $ST(\widehat{\mathscr{L}_n})$ of $\widehat{\mathscr{L}_n}$ can be defined similarly.

For any $s = (s_{12}, s_{13}, \ldots, s_{1n}, s_{23}, \ldots, s_{n-1n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ we define a k-linear mapping

$$\widehat{s} : \mathscr{L} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathscr{L}_n} \tag{8}$$

by

$$\widehat{s}(f(\lambda)x_1^{f_1(\lambda)} \ldots x_n^{f_n(\lambda)}\partial_i) = f(s)x_1^{f_1(s)} \ldots x_n^{f_n(s)}\partial_i$$

for all $f, f_1, \ldots, f_n \in k[\lambda]$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Obviously, $\widehat{s}$ is defined correctly since $\mathscr{L}$ is a free $k[\lambda]$-module and $k[\lambda]$ is a free k-module.

Lemma 6. *$\widehat{s}$ is a homomorphism of k-algebras.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &f(\lambda)x_1^{f_1(\lambda)}\ldots x_n^{f_n(\lambda)}\partial_i \circ g(\lambda)x_1^{g_1(\lambda)}\ldots x_n^{g_n(\lambda)}\partial_j \\ &= f(\lambda)g(\lambda)g_i(\lambda)x_1^{f_1(\lambda)+g_1(\lambda)}\ldots x_i^{f_i(\lambda)+g_i(\lambda)-1}\ldots x_n^{f_n(\lambda)+g_n(\lambda)}\partial_j \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathscr{L}$. Obviously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &f(s)x_1^{f_1(s)}\ldots x_n^{f_n(s)}\partial_i \cdot g(s)x_1^{g_1(s)}\ldots x_n^{g_n(s)}\partial_j \\ &= f(s)g(s)g_i(s)x_1^{f_1(s)+g_1(s)}\ldots x_i^{f_i(s)+g_i(s)-1}\ldots x_n^{f_n(s)+g_n(s)}\partial_j \end{aligned}$$

in $\widehat{\mathscr{L}_n}$. This means that $\widehat{s}$ is a homomorphism of k-algebras. □

Put

$$u_1 = x_2^{\lambda_{12}}\ldots x_n^{\lambda_{1n}}, u_2 = x_3^{\lambda_{23}}\ldots x_n^{\lambda_{2n}}, \ldots, u_n = 1.$$

Consider the elements

$$z_1 = u_1\partial_1, z_2 = u_2\partial_2, \ldots, z_n = u_n\partial_n,$$

of $\mathscr{L}$ and denote by $\mathscr{L}(\lambda)$ the k-subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}$ generated by $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$.

For any $s = (s_{12}, s_{13}, \ldots, s_{1n}, s_{23}, \ldots, s_{n-1n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$, consider the homomorphism $\widehat{s}$ defined by (8). Denote the restriction of $\widehat{s}$ into $\mathscr{L}(\lambda)$ by the same symbol $\widehat{s}$. Notice that $\widehat{s}(\mathscr{L}(\lambda)) \subset ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ since $\widehat{s}(z_i) \in ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$. Finally, we have a homomorphism

$$\widehat{s} : \mathscr{L}(\lambda) \longrightarrow ST(\mathscr{L}_n). \tag{9}$$

As in Section 3, let $A = LS\langle Y\rangle$ be the free left-symmetric algebra generated by $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}$. Denote by

$$\chi : A \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}(\lambda)$$

the homomorphism of k-algebras such that $\chi(y_i) = z_i$ for all i.

Lemma 7. *Let $w \in Y^*$. Then there exist unique polynomials $f^w, f_1^w, \ldots, f_n^w \in k[\lambda]$ and a number $r(w)(1 \leq r(w) \leq n)$ such that*

$$\chi(w) = f^w x_1^{f_1^w}\ldots x_n^{f_n^w}\partial_{r(w)}.$$

Proof. If $w = y_i$ then $\chi(w) = z_i$. Hence $f^w = 1, f_1^w = \ldots = f_i^w = 0, f_{i+1}^w = \lambda_{ii+1}, \ldots, f_n^w = \lambda_{in}$, and $r(w) = i$. If $w = uv$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(w) = \chi(u) \circ \chi(w) &= f^u x_1^{f_1^u}\ldots x_n^{f_n^u}\partial_{r(u)} \circ f^v x_1^{f_1^v}\ldots x_n^{f_n^v}\partial_{r(v)} \\ &= f^u f^v f_{r(u)}^v x_1^{f_1^u+f_1^v}\ldots x_{r(u)}^{f_{r(u)}^u+f_{r(u)}^v-1}\ldots x_n^{f_n^u+f_n^v}\partial_{r(v)} \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of the product $\circ$ since $\deg_{x_{r(u)}}(x_1^{f_1^v}\ldots x_n^{f_n^v}) = f_{r(u)}^v$. □

We use this lemma also as the definition of f^w, f_i^w, and $r(w)$. The proof of this lemma also implies the next corollaries.

Corollary 4. *Let $w \in Y^*$ and $w = uv$. Then $f^w = f^u f^v f_{r(u)}^v$, $f_i^w = f_i^u + f_i^v$ if $i \neq r(u)$, $f_{r(u)}^w = f_{r(u)}^u + f_{r(u)}^v - 1$, and $r(w) = r(v)$.*

Corollary 5. *Let $w \in Y^*$ and $[w] = y_{i_1}\ldots y_{i_m}$. Then $r(w) = i_m$ and*

$$\chi(w) = f^w u_{i_1}u_{i_2}\ldots u_{i_m}x_{i_1}^{-1}x_{i_2}^{-1}\ldots x_{i_{m-1}}^{-1}\partial_{i_m}.$$

Proof. Formula $r(w) = r(v)$ from Corollary 4 immediately gives $r(w) = i_m$.

If $d(w) = 1$ and $w = y_{i_1}$, then $\chi(w) = z_{i_1} = u_{i_1}\partial_{i_1}$. Let $w = uv$, $[u] = y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_m}$, and $[v] = y_{j_1} \dots y_{j_r}$. Leading an induction on $d(w)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(w) = \chi(u) \circ \chi(v) &= f^u u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_m} x_{i_1}^{-1} \dots x_{i_{m-1}}^{-1} \partial_{i_m} \circ f^v u_{j_1} \dots u_{j_r} x_{j_1}^{-1} \dots x_{j_{r-1}}^{-1} \partial_{j_r} \\ &= f^w u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_m} u_{j_1} \dots u_{j_r} x_{i_1}^{-1} \dots x_{i_{m-1}}^{-1} x_{j_1}^{-1} \dots x_{j_{r-1}}^{-1} x_{i_m}^{-1} \partial_{j_r} \end{aligned}$$

since $f^w = f^u f^v f^v_{i_m} = f^u f^v \deg_{x_{i_m}}(u_{j_1} \dots u_{j_r} x_{j_1}^{-1} \dots x_{j_{r-1}}^{-1})$. This implies the statement of the corollary. □

By this corollary, $r(w)$ is the index of the rightmost symbol of w. We use this fact as a denotation.

Recall that a word $w \in Y^*$ is called *multilinear* if $d_{y_i}(w) \le 1$ for all i, where $d_{y_i}(w)$ is the length of w in y_i.

A word $w \in Y^*$ with $[w] = y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_m}$ is called an *s-word* if $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m-1} > i_m$. We say $w \in Y^*$ is *special* if every subword of w is an s-word.

Notice that if w is a reduced word, $[w] = y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_m}$, and $i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_m$, then w is a special reduced word. Obviously, every special reduced word w has a unique representation in the form (7), where $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$ are special reduced words.

Lemma 8. *Let $v \in Y^*$ be a multilinear word. If v is not special, then $\chi(v) = 0$*

Proof. Let u be a nonspecial subword of v of the minimal length. Then u is not an s-word. Let $[u] = y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_m}$ and let i_j be the smallest number between $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$. Notice that all numbers $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$ are different since v is multilinear and $j < m$ since u is not an s-word. Consequently, $i_{j+1}, i_{j+2}, \dots, i_m > i_j$. This means that $\chi(y_{i_{j+1}}) = z_{i_{j+1}}, \chi(y_{i_{j+2}}) = z_{i_{j+2}}, \dots, \chi(y_{i_m}) = z_{i_m}$ do not depend on x_{i_j}. Consequently, $\chi(y_{i_j}) = z_{i_j}$ annihilates the product $\chi(y_{i_1}) \dots \chi(y_{i_m})$ for any placement of parentheses. □

Denote by W the set of all multilinear special reduced words in the alphabet Y. If $w \in W$ and $[u] = y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_m}$, then put $s(w) = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\}$.

Lemma 9. *Let $w \in W$ and $w = uv$. Then*

$$f^v_{r(u)} = \sum_{i \in s(v)} \lambda_{ir(u)}.$$

Proof. Recall that $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ if $i \ge j$. Consequently, only the indexes $i \in s(v)$ with $i < r(v)$ contribute to the sum in the formulation of the lemma.

Notice that v does not contain $y_{r(u)}$ since w is multilinear and $y_{r(u)}$ is the rightmost symbol of u. Consequently, we do not have $z_{r(u)}$ in $\chi(v)$. This means that the degrees in $x_{r(u)}$ of all elements participating in the product $\chi(v)$ will be summarized. Notice that the degree $x_{r(u)}$ in z_i is $\lambda_{ir(u)}$ if $i < r(u)$ and 0 otherwise. This gives the statement of the lemma. □

Lemma 10. *Let $w \in W$ represented in the form (7). Then*

$$\widetilde{f^w} = \lambda_{ir(w_1)} \lambda_{ir(w_2)} \dots \lambda_{ir(w_m)} \widetilde{f^{w_1}} \widetilde{f^{w_2}} \dots \widetilde{f^{w_m}}.$$

Proof. We have $w = w_1u$, where $u = L_{w_2} \dots L_{w_m} y_i$. By Corollary 4, $f^w = f^{w_1} f^u f^u_{r(w_1)}$. By Lemma 9, we get

$$f^u_{r(w_1)} = \sum_{j \in s(u)} \lambda_{jr(w_1)}.$$

Notice that i is the least number of $s(u)$ and $s(w)$ since u and w are special. Consequently, $\widetilde{f^u_{r(w_1)}} = \lambda_{ir(w_1)}$ and

$$\widetilde{f^w} = \lambda_{ir(w_1)} \widetilde{f^{w_1}} \widetilde{f^u}.$$

Leading an induction on $d(w)$, we may assume that

$$\widetilde{f^u} = \lambda_{ir(w_2)} \dots \lambda_{ir(w_m)} \widetilde{f^{w_2}} \dots \widetilde{f^{w_m}}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □.

Corollary 6. *In the conditions of Lemma 10 the following statements are true:*

(i) $\widetilde{f^w}$ *is a product of* $d(w) - 1$ *elements* λ_{st}*;*

(ii) The least first index of all divisors λ_{st} *of* $\widetilde{f^w}$ *is* i*;*

(iii) The set of all second indexes of all divisors λ_{st} *of* $\widetilde{f^w}$ *is equal to* $s(w) \setminus \{i\}$*;*

(iv) Let $\lambda_{ij_1}, \lambda_{ij_2}, \dots, \lambda_{ij_p}$ *be the set of all divisors* λ_{st} *of* $\widetilde{f^w}$ *with the first index* i*. Then* $p = m$ *and* $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p\} = \{r(w_1), r(w_2), \dots, r(w_m)\}$*.*

Proof. Every statement of the corollary is obvious or can be deduced from Lemma 10 by an easy induction on $d(w)$. □

Lemma 11. *Every* $w \in W$ *is uniquely defined by* $\widetilde{f^w}$*.*

Proof. We determine $w \in W$ in the form (7). By Corollary 6(ii), $r(w) = i$ is determined uniquely. By Corollary 6(iv), the number m and the set of elements $\{r(w_1), r(w_2), \dots, r(w_m)\}$ are also determined uniquely.

We introduce new orders $\succ$ and $\vdash$ on $s(w)$. If $p, q \in s(w)$, then put $q \succ p$ if λ_{pq} divides $\widetilde{f^w}$. Put also $q \vdash p$ if $q = q_0 \succ q_1 \succ \dots \succ q_t = p$ for some $t \geq 1$. By Corollary 6(iii), for any $q \in s(w) \setminus \{i\}$ there exists a unique element $p \in s(w)$ such that $q \succ p$. Lemma 10 implies that $q \vdash r(w_j)$ if and only if $q \in s(w_j) \setminus \{r(w_j)\}$. This fact uniquely determines $s(w_j)$ for all j. Notice that $\widetilde{f^{w_j}}$ is the product of all divisors λ_{st} of $\widetilde{f^w}$ such that $t \vdash r(w_j)$. Leading an induction on $d(w)$, we may assume that w_j is uniquely determined by $\widetilde{f^{w_j}}$. Then w is also determined uniquely since $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_m$. □

Theorem 1. *The left-symmetric algebra of all strongly triangular derivations* $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ *does not satisfy any nontrivial left-symmetric identity of degree less than or equal to* n*.*

Proof. Recall [15] that every polynomial identity over a field of characteristic zero is equivalent to homogeneous multilinear polynomial identities. Since $ST(\mathscr{L}_{n-1}) \subset ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$, it is sufficient to prove that every multilinear polynomial identity of $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ of degree n is a corollary of (2). Suppose that it is not true. Then there exists a nonzero multilinear element $g = g(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ of the free left-symmetric algebra $A = LS\langle Y \rangle$ which is a polynomial identity for $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$, i.e.,

$$g(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$$

for all $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathscr{L}_n$. We fix this element g and assume that g is written in the form (6). Then $\overline{g} = w_1$

Let $[\overline{g}] = [w_1] = y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \ldots y_{i_n}$. We have $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ since g is multilinear of degree n. Consider the mapping $\sigma : \bar{n} \to \bar{n}$ defined $\sigma(i_j) = n - j + 1$ for all j. Notice that $\sigma(i_1) > \sigma(i_2) > \ldots > \sigma(i_n)$. Denote by σ the automorphism of the monoid Y^* and the automorphism of the free algebra A defined by σ. We have

$$\sigma(g) = \alpha_1 \sigma(w_1) + \alpha_2 \sigma(w_2) + \ldots + \alpha_m \sigma(w_m).$$

By Lemma 1, we get $\sigma(w_1) < \sigma(w_2) < \ldots < \sigma(w_m)$. By Lemma 2, $\sigma(w_1)$ is a reduced word. Then Lemma 3 gives that $\overline{\sigma(g)} = \sigma(w_1)$.

Obviously, $\sigma(w_1)$ is special since $[\sigma(w_1)] = y_n y_{n-1} \ldots y_1$.

Consequently, by changing g to $\sigma(g)$, we may assume that $\overline{g} = w_1 \in W$. Let $g = h + h_1$, where h is a linear combination of special reduced words and h_1 is a linear combination of reduced nonspecial words. We have $h \neq 0$ since $\overline{g} \in W$. We also have $\chi(h_1) = 0$ by Lemma 8. Assume that

$$h = \beta_1 v_1 + \beta_2 v_2 + \ldots + \beta_s v_s,$$

where $v_i \in W$, $0 \neq \beta_i \in k$, $s \geq 1$, and $1 \leq i \leq s$. Notice that $r(v_i) = 1$ for all i since v_i is special. By Corollary 5, we get $\chi(v_i) = f^{v_i} u_1 u_2 \ldots u_n (x_2 x_3 \ldots x_n)^{-1} \partial_1$ for all i. Hence

$$\chi(g) = \chi(h) = (\beta_1 f_{v_1} + \beta_2 f_{v_2} + \ldots + \beta_s f_{v_s}) u_1 u_2 \ldots u_n (x_2 x_3 \ldots x_n)^{-1} \partial_1.$$

Put $f_g = \beta_1 f_{v_1} + \beta_2 f_{v_2} + \ldots + \beta_s f_{v_s}$. By Lemma 9, the elements $\widetilde{f_{v_i}}$ are different for different values of i. Consequently, $f_g \in k[\lambda]$ is nonzero. It is not difficult to show that there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ such that $f_g(s) \neq 0$. Then the image of g under the homomorphism

$$\widehat{s} \circ \chi : A \longrightarrow ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$$

is nonzero. Consequently, g is not an identity for $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$. $\square$

Corollary 7. *The identity (5) is a left-symmetric polynomial identity of $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$ of the minimal degree.*

Corollary 8. *The variety of algebras generated by all algebras of strongly triangular derivations $ST(\mathscr{L}_n)$, where $n \geq 1$, is the variety of all left-symmetric algebras.*

Corollary 9. *The variety of algebras generated by all algebras of triangular derivations $T(\mathscr{L}_n)$, where $n \geq 1$, is the variety of all left-symmetric algebras.*

Corollary 10. *The variety of algebras generated by all left-symmetric Witt algebras $\mathscr{L}_n$, where $n \geq 1$, is the variety of all left-symmetric algebras.*

5. General identities

A very interesting class of identities for W_n was discovered by Yu.P. Razmyslov [10, Chapter 6]. Of course, every identity for W_n is an identity for $\mathscr{L}_n$. Moreover, every identity for W_n also gives a left operator identity for $\mathscr{L}_n$ [12, Lemma 7]. But Razmyslov's method, applied directly to $\mathscr{L}_n$, gives more wider class of identities for $\mathscr{L}_n$. We describe this class of identities.

Consider the grading (4) of $\mathscr{L}_n$. Recall that $0 \neq a \in L_i$ is called homogeneous of degree i. Put $|a| = i$ in this case. Choose a homogeneous basis

$$e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_s, \ldots$$

for $\mathscr{L}_n$ such that $|e_i| \leq |e_j|$ if $i < j$. Then $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is a basis for L_{-1} and $e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{n^2+n}$ is a basis for L_0, and so on.

For any positive integer N put

$$e(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |e_i|$$

Recall that a (nonassociative) polynomial $f = f(y_1, \ldots, y_N, z_1, \ldots, z_t)$ is called *skew-symmetric* with respect to $y_1, \ldots, y_N$ if

$$\sigma(f) = f(y_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, y_{\sigma(N)}, z_1, \ldots, z_t) = \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) f(y_1, \ldots, y_N, z_1, \ldots, z_t)$$

for all $\sigma \in S_N$, where S_N is the group of degree N.

Proposition 2. *Let N be an arbitrary positive integer and $f = f(y_1, \ldots, y_N, z_1, \ldots, z_t)$ be an arbitrary multilinear left-symmetric polynomial skew-symmetric with respect to $y_1, \ldots, y_N$. If $e(N) \geq t$, then $f = 0$ is an identity for $\mathscr{L}_n$.*

Proof. The identity (2) gives

$$\partial \cdot (u \cdot v) = (\partial \cdot u) \cdot v + u \cdot (\partial \cdot v)$$

for all $\partial \in L_{-1}$ and $u, v \in \mathscr{L}_n$ since $\mathscr{L}_n \cdot L_{-1} = 0$. This means that L_∂ is a derivation of $\mathscr{L}_n$.

Let $g = g(z_1, \ldots, z_l)$ be an arbitrary multilinear left-symmetric polynomial. If $g = 0$ is not an identity for $\mathscr{L}_n$, then there exist homogeneous elements $v_1, \ldots, v_l \in \mathscr{L}_n$ such that $0 \neq g(v_1, \ldots, v_l) \in L_{-1}$. In fact, let $v_1, \ldots, v_l \in \mathscr{L}_n$ be homogeneous elements such that $0 \neq g(v_1, \ldots, v_l) \in L_i$ and $i \geq 0$. By the left transitivity of the grading (4), there exists $\partial \in L_{-1}$ such that $L_\partial g(v_1, \ldots, v_l) \neq 0$. We have

$$L_\partial g(v_1, \ldots, v_l) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} g(v_1, \ldots, L_\partial v_i, \ldots, v_l).$$

since L_∂ is a derivation. Then there exists i such that $0 \neq g(v_1, \ldots, L_\partial u_i, \ldots, v_l) \in L_{i-1}$.

Suppose that $f = 0$ is not an identity for $\mathscr{L}_n$. Then there exist basis elements $e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_N}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_t} \in \mathscr{L}_n$ such that $0 \neq f(e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_N}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_t}) \in L_{-1}$. If two of the elements $e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_N}$ are equal to each other, then $f(e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_N}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_t}) = 0$ since f is skew-symmetric with respect to $y_1, \ldots, y_N$. If all $e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_N}$ are different, then

$$|e_{i_1}| + \ldots + |e_{i_N}| \geq |e_1| + \ldots + |e_N| = e(N).$$

This implies that $f(e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_N}, e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_t}) \in L_s$ and $s \geq e(N) - t \geq 0$. $\square$

Let's describe the identities of the minimal degree given by Proposition 2. Notice that $|e_1| + \ldots + |e_n| = -n$ and $|e_{n+1}| + \ldots + |e_{n^2+n}| = 0$. The minimal N for which $e(N)$ is nonnegative is $n^2 + 2n$ and $e(n^2 + 2n) = 0$. Put $N = n^2 + n$. Let w be an arbitrary reduced word such that $[w] = y_1 \dots y_N$. Then

$$S_N^w = \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) w(y_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, y_{\sigma(N)}) = 0$$

is an identity for $\mathscr{L}_n$.

References

[1] S.A. Amitsur and J. Levitzki, Minimal identities for algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), 449–463.

[2] D. Burde, Left-symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 4 (2006), no. 3, 323–357.

[3] D. Burde, W. de Graaf, Classification of Novikov algebras, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 24 (2013), no. 1, 1–15.

[4] A. Dzhumadil'daev, Minimal identities for right-symmetric algebras, J. Algebra 225 (2000), no. 1, 201–230.

[5] V.T. Filippov, On right-symmetric and Novikov nil algebras of bounded index, (Russian) Mat. Zametki 70 (2001), no. 2, 289–295; translation in Math. Notes 70 (2001), no. 1–2, 258–263.

[6] J.A. Freitas, P. Koshlukov, A. Krasilnikov, Z-graded identities of the Lie algebra W_1, J. Algebra 427 (2015), 226–251.

[7] D. Kozybaev, L. Makar-Limanov, U. Umirbaev, The Freiheitssatz and the automorphisms of free right-symmetric algebras, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 1, No. 2 (2008), 243–254.

[8] L. Makar-Limanov, U. Umirbaev, The Freiheitssatz for Novikov algebras, TWMS Jour. Pure Appl. Math., 2 (2011), no. 2, 66–73.

[9] Yu. Maltsev, A basis for the identities of the algebra of upper triangular matrices, (Russian) Algebra i Logika 10 (1971), 393–400.

[10] Yu.P. Razmyslov, Identities of algebras and their representations, Translated from the 1989 Russian original by A. M. Shtern. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 138. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.

[11] D. Segal, Free left-symmetric algebras and an analogue of the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem, J. Algebra 164 (1994), 750–752.

[12] U.U. Umirbaev, Associative, Lie, and left-symmetric algebras of derivations, Transformation Groups, 17 pages (accepted) (arXiv:1412.2840 [math.AG]).

[13] E.I. Zelmanov, A class of local translation-invariant Lie algebras, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 292 (1987), no. 6, 1294–1297.

[14] E.I. Zelmanov, On Engel Lie algebras, (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 29 (1988), no. 5, 112–117; English translation: In Siberian Math. J. 29 (1988), no. 5, 777–781.

[15] K.A. Zhevlakov, A.M. Slinko, I.P. Shestakov, A.I. Shirshov, Rings that are nearly associative, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1982.